

CLAIM OF LAWS IN LOGICS AT DISCUSSIONS

Vlasenko Nataliya

Supervisor: associate professor Kulakova O.M.
National Pharmaceutical University, Kharkiv, Ukraine

natalavlasenko696@gmail.com

Introduction. Discussion as a form used is only used to produce truth that has a clear structure and a logical structure for argumentation. Answer scientific, legal, political debate, offer to join the law of logic, in order to achieve the ultimate goal of debate - the establishment of truth. There are four well-known laws in the scientific literature: the law of identity; the law contradicts; the law of removal of the third; the law of reliable action.

The practical application of the stated laws of logic in discussions is that the persons involved in it can maintain a substantive dialogue, while being able to understand and trace the logical chain and interrelation of events, phenomena and things that are considered essential for discussion.

Aim. To explore the application of logic in discussions

Materials. Alferov SM Logic for Lawyers: Educ. tool. for students. higher. teach. closed / SM Alfiorov. - Kiev: CST: Dakor, 2011. - 131 p. Donbass War, Realities and Prospects for Settlement // [Electronic resource] - access mode: http://razumkov.org.ua/uploads/article/2019_Donbas.pdf; Logic: A textbook for higher education students. institutions / EA Converse. - K .: Ukrainian Center for Spiritual Culture, 1999. - 398 p.; Models and price of conflict settlement in Donbass: international experience and Ukrainian realities // [Electronic resource] - access mode: <http://icps.com.ua/assets/uploads/images/images/eu/donetsk.pdf>; Khomenko IV Logic: Theory and Practice: A Textbook for Students. higher. teach. closed / IV. Khomenko. - Kyiv: Center for Educational Literature, 2010. - 398p.

Methods. Comparison, observation, analysis and systematization.

Results and discussion. Given the above, no matter what the subject matter of the discussion is, if it deserves a public, legal or scientific level, the four laws of logic must be complied with. In the area of legal regulation, the process of proof, culminating in a judicial debate, where according to the fourth law of logic, the proof must have all the necessary procedural elements, including the element of "reasonableness of the grounds", that certain phenomena of objective reality take place and are true.

Conclusions. Finally, it should be noted that the topic of application of the laws of logic in discussions needs further scientific study.

A striking example of the violation of the laws of logic in discussions, especially in political debates, is the systematic repetition of identical concepts,

which results in the impression of the truth of the statement, based on their comparison. For example: "we all live poorly", "we cannot afford to buy one or the other", "we have small pensions, salaries", etc. At the same time, the truth of the statement is questioned in the light that not everyone lives poorly, including the speaker, because he is obviously not one of the persons below the poverty line, but is in another logical plane, so he manipulates the same notions that to a certain part of the population are true.

In scientific discussions about the same concepts, there are whole systems and institutions, so the main problem of controversy is the exclusion of the third. Often, scientific concepts have different reflections, even in those phenomena of objective reality that are opposite and have no logical, including legal, analogue. A topical example of scientific, political and legal controversy is the armed conflict in eastern Ukraine, since there is no consensus among scholars, lawyers and politicians about the actual and legal status of the confrontation. As of today, at the level of international and national law of Ukraine, without obeying the laws of logic, there is a "third status", of undeclared war.

Accordingly, in order to resolve the conflict in the east of the country, from the logical point of view, it is necessary to clearly establish the essence of the phenomenon itself through the lens of comparison and comparison of the concepts of "war" and "peace" with the exception of third elements. This approach will allow you to rationally determine the sequence of subsequent actions and possible events with their consequences, if the implementation of certain steps proceed solely from the concept of "peace" or the concept of "war".

Of course, any assertion of a scientific or legal, political debate cannot be absolute, but it must follow the laws of logic, including justification, in order to achieve the goal of establishing the truth.

A striking example of legal debate, with the application of the fourth law of logic, is the judicial debate. In the course of legal and factual discussions during the judicial debate, the admissibility, admissibility, reliability and sufficiency of the evidence are extremely important elements of evidence. In legal science, there is a holistic system in the form of gathering, checking, comparing, researching and classifying evidence. Accordingly, the fourth law of logic is enshrined at the level of procedural law, and therefore it is necessary to consider that the fourth element of the law of logic is observed in the process of discussion - the law is sufficient to state.

Conclusions. Given the above, no matter what the subject matter of the discussion is, if it deserves a public, legal or scientific level, the four laws of logic must be complied with. Finally, it should be noted that the topic of application of the laws of logic in discussions needs further scientific study.